1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	•	
7		
	ATTACHED OF A REPORT	NORDI CONTOR
8	UNITED STATES I	
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION
11	ANTI POLICE-TERROR PROJECT,	Case No. 3:20-cv-3866 JCS
12	COMMUNITY READY CORPS, SEAN CANADAY, MICHAEL COHEN,	JOINT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT
13	MICHAEL COOPER, ANDREA	AND RELEASE
14	COSTANZO, JONATHAN FARMER, LINDSEY FILOWITZ, DANIELLE GAITO,	
15	KATIE JOHNSON, JENNIFER LI, IAN McDONNELL, MELISSA MIYARA,	
16	LINDSEY MORRIS, LEILA MOTTLEY,	
17	NIKO NADA, AZIZE NGO, NICOLE PULLER, MARIA RAMIREZ, AKIL	
İ	RILEY, AARON ROGACHEVSKY, TARA	
18	ROSE, ASHWIN RUPAN, DANIEL SANCHEZ, CHRISTINA STEWART,	
19	TAYAH STEWART, KATHERINE SUGRUE, CELESTE WONG, and	
20	QIAOCHU ZHANG; on behalf of	
21	themselves and similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs,	
22	v.	
23	CITY OF OAKLAND, OPD Police Chief	
24	SUSAN E. MANHEIMER, OPD Sergeant PATRICK GONZALES, OPD Officer	
25	MAXWELL D'ORSO and OPD Officer	
26	CASEY FOUGHT,	
27	Defendants.	
28		_
۵		

3:20-cv-3866 JCS

JOINT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

This Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release is made and entered into between Plaintiffs Anti Police-Terror Project, Community Ready Corps, Sean Canaday, Michael Cohen, Michael Cooper, Andrea Costanzo, Jonathan Farmer, Lindsey Filowitz, Danielle Gaito, Katie Johnson, Jennifer Li, Ian McDonnell, Melissa Miyara, Lindsey Morris, Leila Mottley, Niko Nada, Azize Ngo, Nicole Puller, Maria Ramirez, Akil Riley, Aaron Rogachevsky, Tara Rose, Daniel Sanchez, Christina Stewart, Tayah Stewart, Katherine Sugrue, Celeste Wong, and Quiaochu Zhang, as individuals and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant City of Oakland ("Defendant"), who are parties to the above-captioned litigation (together, the "Parties").

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE LITIGATION

- 1.1 On June 11, 2020, Plaintiffs Anti Police-Terror Project, Community Ready Corps, Akil Riley, Ian McDonnell, Niko Nada, Azize Ngo, and Jennifer Li filed a verified application for a temporary restraining order and putative class action complaint against Defendants in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, captioned *Anti Police-Terror Project, et al. v. City of Oakland, et al.*, Case No. 3:20-cv-03866 JCS. (Dkt. 1.) The complaint was subsequently amended, and the First Amended Complaint, filed on October 20, 2020, is the operative complaint in this action. The First Amended Complaint adds the remaining plaintiffs and alleges claims against the following defendants: the City of Oakland, former interim Chief of Police Susan Manheimer, Oakland police sergeant Patrick Gonzales, Oakland police officer Maxwell D'Orso, and Oakland police officer Casey Fought. All claims are alleged against all defendants, except where noted below. (Dkt. 71.)
- 1.2 The First Amended Complaint alleges claims for: (1) violation of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1983; (2) violation of the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution (excessive force and unlawful seizure), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1983; (3) violation of the Fourteenth Amendment right to "substantive" due process of the U.S. Constitution, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. section 1983; (4) claims for "Monell and supervisory liability" against the City of Oakland and Susan Manheimer only; (5) assault and battery; (6) violation of the Bane Act, California Civil Code section 52.1; (7) false arrest and

2.1

false imprisonment; and (8) negligence. The Parties consented to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge, and the Honorable Joseph C. Spero was assigned to the case for all purposes.

- 1.3 On June 18, 2020, after the Plaintiffs moved for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") and submitted 14 supporting declarations and after the Court ordered the Parties to confer and attempt to agree upon its terms, the Court issued a TRO and Order to Show Cause. (Dkt. 33, 34.)
- 1.4 On July 29, and August 10, 2020, after the Parties submitted supporting and opposing briefs and evidence, the Court ordered the entry of a preliminary injunction against Defendant regarding crowd control tactics and munitions. (Dkt. 52, 54.) Plaintiffs subsequently sought to enforce the preliminary injunction, which was denied, and Defendant subsequently sought to modify the preliminary injunction, which was granted in part. (Dkt. 83.) A modified preliminary injunction was entered on October 28, 2020. (Dkt. 82.)
- 1.5 Plaintiffs subsequently filed a motion for certification of a Rule 23(b)(2) and 23(b)(3) class. That motion was denied without prejudice. (Dkt. 124.) The parties stipulated to certification of a Rule 23(b)(2) class, which was entered as an order certifying the class on February 1, 2022. (Dkt. 149.)
- 1.6 The parties engaged in extensive discovery, including production of many hours of body worn camera footage and other video footage pertaining to the demonstrations of May 29 June 1, 2020; production of voluminous additional documents by Defendant; production of medical records and other records supporting the claims of Plaintiffs; written discovery; and 37 depositions.
- 1.7 On July 14, 2020, December 10, 2021, January 13, 2022, and April 14, 2022, the Parties participated in settlement conferences with Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler. The parties met on numerous additional occasions for settlement discussions and exchanged multiple written proposals. Ultimately the Parties reached agreement on settlement of the damages claims of 25 plaintiffs; two plaintiffs do not seek damages; and the damages claims of two plaintiffs have not settled. The amounts and status of the damages claims of all plaintiffs are set forth below in greater detail in Section 5.2. The Parties also reached agreement on the terms of a permanent

injunction, and Plaintiffs' counsel's claims for attorneys' fees and costs. All of the terms of this settlement are contained within this Stipulation of Settlement. At all times, the Parties' settlement negotiations have been non-collusive, adversarial, and at arm's length.

1.8 Discussions between counsel for the Parties, extensive discovery, briefing on numerous motions, as well as the respective investigation and evaluation of Plaintiffs' claims by the Parties, have permitted each side to assess the relative merits of the claims and the defenses to those claims. The Parties agree that the above-described investigation and evaluation, as well as discovery and briefing of motions, are sufficient to assess the merits of the respective Parties' positions and to compromise the issues on a fair and equitable basis.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

The following terms, when used in this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release, shall have the following meanings:

- 2.1 "Action" means the lawsuit entitled *Anti Police-Terror Project, et al. v. City of Oakland, et al.*, in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:20-cv-3866 JCS.
- 2.2 "Class Counsel" means Siegel, Yee, Brunner & Mehta, Walter Riley, and James Burch.
- 2.3 "Class Representatives" means Plaintiffs Michael Cooper, Johnathan Farmer, Leila Mottley, Maria Ramirez, Akil Riley, Christine Stewart, Tayah Stewart, and Qiaochu Zhang.
 - 2.4 "Court" means the United States District Court, Northern District of California.
- 2.5 "Defendants" means City of Oakland, Susan Manheimer, Patrick Gonzales, Maxwell D'Orso, and Casey Fought.
- 2.6 "Effective Date" means the date on which the Judgment becomes a Final Judgment.
- 2.7 "Final Approval Date" means the date on which the Court enters the Order of Final Approval.
 - 2.8 "Final Approval Hearing" means a hearing set by the Court, to take place on a

date established by the Court, for the purpose of (i) determining the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Stipulation's terms and associated Settlement pursuant to class action procedures and requirements; (ii) determining the amount of the award of attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel; and (iii) entering the Judgment.

- 2.9 "Final Judgment" means the latest of the following dates: (i) if no Class Member files an objection to the Settlement, then the date the Court enters an Order of Final Approval of the Settlement and enters Judgment; (ii) if a Class Member files an objection to the Settlement, then after the applicable date for seeking appellate review of the Court's final approval of the Settlement has passed, assuming no appeal or request for review is filed; or (iii) if an appeal is filed, the final resolution of that appeal (including any requests for rehearing and/or petitions for writ of certiorari) resulting in the final judicial approval of the Settlement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any proceeding, order, or appeal pertaining solely to the award of attorneys' fees or costs shall not by itself in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming a Final Judgment.
- 2.10 "Judgment" means the judgment to be executed and filed by the Court pursuant to this Stipulation following the Final Approval Hearing.
- 2.11 "Notice of Settlement" means the information provided to Class Members to notify them of the Settlement, a copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.
 - 2.12 "Parties" means Defendant and the Plaintiffs.
- 2.13 "Preliminary Approval Date" means the date the Court enters an Order approving the Stipulation of Settlement, and the exhibits thereto, and providing for notice to the Class, an opportunity to submit timely objections to the settlement, and setting a hearing on the fairness of the terms of settlement, including approval of Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs.
- 2.14 "Released Claims" shall mean any and all claims, whether known or unknown, and whether anticipated or unanticipated, including unknown claims covered by California Civil Code Section 1542, as quoted in Section 5.6.2, below, arising from the events alleged in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.
 - 2.15 "Released Class Claims" shall mean any and all claims for injunctive or equitable

relief, whether known or unknown, and whether anticipated or unanticipated, including unknown claims covered by California Civil Code Section 1542, as quoted in Section 5.6.2, below, arising from the events alleged in Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint.

- 2.16 "Settlement Class Member" or "Settlement Class" means all persons injured by tear gas deployed by the Oakland Police Department or its mutual aid partners during the George Floyd protests on May 29-31, and June 1, 2020, while protesting peacefully in the City of Oakland, with respect to their claims against the City of Oakland for violations of their rights under the First Amendment, Fourth Amendment (excessive force), and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and their state law claims of assault and battery, negligence, and violation of the Bane Act (Civil Code § 52.1).
- 2.17 "Settling Parties" means Defendant City of Oakland, Plaintiffs, and the Settlement Class.
- 2.18 "Stipulation of Settlement" or "Stipulation" or "Settlement" shall mean this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release, signed by counsel for all of the Parties, which shall supersede and make inoperative any prior settlement discussions or agreements between the Parties.

3. CLASS COUNSEL AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVES' POSITION

- 3.1 Based on their own independent investigations and evaluations, Class Counsel is of the opinion that the Settlement with Defendant for the consideration and terms set forth herein, considering the class claims, and the risk of loss, is fair, reasonable, and adequate in light of all known facts and circumstances, and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class.
- 3.2 Class Counsel has weighed the benefits of the permanent injunctive relief under the Settlement to the Settlement Class against the expenses and length of continued proceedings that would be necessary to prosecute the Action against Defendants through trial and possible appeals. Class Counsel has also taken into account the uncertain outcome and risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as class actions, as well as the difficulties and delay inherent in such litigation. As a result, Class Counsel has determined that the settlement set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement is in the best interests of the Settlement Class.

- 4.1 Defendant specifically and generally denies any and all liability or wrongdoing of any sort with regard to the claims alleged, makes no concessions or admissions of liability of any sort, and contends that for any purpose other than Settlement, the Action is not appropriate for class action treatment. Nonetheless, Defendant has concluded that further conduct of the Action would likely be protracted, distracting and expensive, and that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Defendant has also taken into account the uncertainty and risks inherent in any litigation. Defendant has therefore determined that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation.
- 4.2 Neither this Stipulation of Settlement, nor any document referred to in it, nor any actions taken pursuant to this Stipulation of Settlement, is or should be construed as an admission by any defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. Nor should the Stipulation of Settlement be construed as an admission that Plaintiffs and the purported class meet any of the class action elements contained in Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, except as previously determined by the Court in its Order certifying the class. (Dkt. 149.) There has been no final determination by any court as to the merits of the claims asserted by Plaintiffs against Defendants or as to whether a class action should continue to be certified.
- 4.3 Defendant and its counsel have concluded that it is desirable that the Action be settled in a manner and upon such terms and conditions set forth herein in order to avoid further expense, inconvenience and distraction of further legal proceedings, and the risk of the outcome of the Action. Therefore, Defendant has determined that it is desirable and beneficial to resolve the claims in the Action.

5. OPERATIVE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

The Parties to this case agree as follows:

5.1 Class Certification

5.1.1 The Parties stipulate, for settlement purposes only, to the continued certification of the Class.

5.1.2 If, for any reason, the Court does not approve this Stipulation, fails to enter the Judgment, or if this Stipulation is terminated for any other reason, Defendant shall, and hereby does, retain the right to dispute the appropriateness of continued class certification.

5.2 <u>Damages Claims of Individual Plaintiffs</u>

5.2.1 Defendant shall pay the following amounts to individual plaintiffs in settlement of their claims for damages:

Plaintiff Sean Canaday	\$15,000.00
Plaintiff Michael Cohen	\$5,000.00
Plaintiff Michael Cooper	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Andrea Costanzo	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Jonathan Farmer	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Lindsey Filowitz	\$45,000.00
Plaintiff Danielle Gaito	\$16,500.00
Plaintiff Katie Johnson	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Jennifer Li	\$25,000.00
Plaintiff Ian McDonnell	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Melissa Miyara	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Leila Mottley	\$5,000.00
Plaintiff Niko Nada	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Azize Ngo	\$2,500.00
Plaintiff Nicole Puller	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Maria Ramirez	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Akil Riley	\$8,500.00
Plaintiff Aaron Rogachevsky	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Tara Rose	\$20,000.00
Plaintiff Daniel Sanchez	\$25,000.00
Plaintiff Christina Stewart	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Tayah Stewart	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Katherine Sugrue	\$10,000.00
Plaintiff Celeste Wong	\$12,500.00
Plaintiff Qiaochu Zhang	\$5,000.00

Organizational plaintiffs Anti Police-Terror Project and Community Ready Corps did not seek monetary damages in this Action and shall receive none in settlement.

- 5.2.2 Because this case is certified as a class action with respect to injunctive relief only, and settlement of Plaintiffs' claims on a class basis is with respect to Plaintiffs' claims for injunctive relief only, Court approval of Plaintiffs' individual claims for damages is not necessary. However, should the Court refuse to grant Final Approval of this Stipulation of Settlement, this Stipulation of Settlement will be void *ab initio*, as described below in Section 6.3.5.
 - 5.2.3 The damages claims of Plaintiff Lindsey Morris have not settled and are

not included within this Stipulation. However, this Stipulation settles and releases Plaintiff Morris's claims for injunctive relief, and for attorneys' fees and costs.

- 5.2.4 No claims of Plaintiff Ashwin (James) Rupan are included within this Stipulation. The Court granted the motion of plaintiffs' counsel to withdraw from representing Plaintiff Rupan.
- 5.3 <u>Non-Monetary Terms of Settlement</u>. As part of the settlement, and for good and valuable consideration, the Parties stipulate and request that the Court issue an order for permanent injunctive relief in this case as follows:
 - 5.3.1 Continuing Jurisdiction: Compliance with this Permanent Injunction shall be subject to the Court's continuing jurisdiction for a period of five years. During that period, in the event that any party asserts that another party is failing or has failed to abide by the terms of this Order, the parties shall promptly enter into discussions in an effort to resolve that dispute. If the dispute is not resolved and reduced to a written agreement within 30 calendar days of the notification, or another timeline agreed to in writing by the parties, any party may invoke the jurisdiction of this Court. The Court shall schedule such proceedings as may be necessary to hear and resolve the dispute and to enter a final and binding order on said matter.
 - 5.3.2 Injunctive Relief: Except as expressly modified below, OPD shall follow the provisions of Training Bulletin III-G, OPD Crowd Control and Crowd Management (2013) in all respects, including but not limited to the provisions of Section IX, "Mutual Aid & Multi-Agency Coordination." In addition to the information and materials specified therein for distribution to mutual aid agencies, OPD will provide copies of this Order to all such agencies. During the period of the Court's continuing jurisdiction, in the event that OPD chooses to modify Training Bulletin III-G, it shall give counsel for plaintiffs advance notification of such changes and shall meet and confer with plaintiffs' counsel regarding such changes before implementing them. In the event counsel for plaintiffs believe that such changes violate the substantive provisions of this Order they may invoke the Court's jurisdiction pursuant to Section 5.3.1, above.
 - 5.3.3 Every OPD police officer shall wear a badge, nameplate, or other device on the outside of his or her uniform or helmet which bears the identification number or name of the officer as required by Penal Code § 830.10, at all times while engaged in law enforcement activities in connection with any demonstration or crowd event in the City of Oakland.
 - 5.3.4 Every OPD police officer shall utilize a Personal Digital Recording Device (PDRD) and shall have that device activated whenever taking any enforcement action or when ordered to activate their PDRD by a supervisor or commander during a crowd control situation in the City of Oakland.
 - 5.3.5 No OPD police officer shall use a motorcycle, police vehicle, dog, or horse for crowd dispersal in connection with any demonstration or crowd

	l i		
1		may b	in the City of Oakland. Motorcycles, police vehicles, and horses to used for purposes of observation, visible deterrence, traffic
2			ol, transportation, and area control in connection with any astration or crowd event.
3	5.3.6	woode	PD police officer shall use stinger grenades, wooden bullets or en batons, rubber bullets or rubber batons, or pepper balls in ction with any demonstration or crowd event in the City of Oakland.
5	527		•
6	5.3.7	agents	PD police officer shall use tear gas or other crowd control chemical s, including orthochlorobenzalmalononitrile, for purposes of crowd gement, crowd control, or crowd dispersal in connection with any
7		demor	stration or crowd event in the City of Oakland except subject to all following:
8		(a)	Crowd control chemical agents shall not be used in demonstrations
9		()	or other crowd events without the authorization of a commanding officer or incident commander.
10		(b)	Crowd control chemical agents shall only be used if the use is
11			objectively reasonable to defend against a threat to life or serious bodily injury to any individual, including any peace officer, or to
12			bring an objectively dangerous and unlawful situation safely and effectively under control. As an example, an isolated incident of
13			vandalism, without more, does not rise to the level of an objectively dangerous and unlawful situation sufficient to justify the use of crowd control chemical agents.
14	-	()	C
15 16		(c)	Crowd control chemical agents shall only be used if other alternatives to force, including but not limited to extraction, encirclement, and multiple simultaneous arrests or police
17			formations have been attempted, when objectively reasonable, a have failed.
18		(d)	Crowd control chemical agents shall not be used for crowd control without first giving audible warnings to the crowd when
19			objectively reasonable to do so, and an objectively reasonable opportunity to disperse.
20		(e)	An objectively reasonable effort must be made to identify persons
21			engaged in violent acts and those who are not, and crowd control chemical agents must be targeted only towards those individuals
22			engaged in violent acts. Crowd control chemical agents shall be deployed to explode at a safe distance from the crowd to minimize
23			the risk of personal injury. Incidental impacts on peaceful
24			protestors, bystanders, medical personnel, journalists, or other unintended targets shall be minimized.
25		(f)	If crowd control chemical agents are contemplated in crowd situations, OPD shall have medical personnel on site prior to their
26			use and shall make provision for decontamination and medical screening to those persons affected by the chemical agent(s).
27			Medical assistance shall be promptly provided for injured persons when it is reasonable and safe to do so. An objectively reasonable
28			effort will be made to extract individuals in distress.

1 2		ne	embers shall use the minimum amount of chemical agent cessary to obtain compliance in accordance with Department eneral Order K-3, USE OF FORCE.
3	5.3.8	Munitions	police officer shall use Direct Fired Specialty Impact Less-Lethal (SIM) for purposes of crowd management, crowd control, or persal in connection with any demonstration or crowd event in f Oakland.
5 6	5.3.9	Beginning Permanenthe period	no later than 90 days after the Court's Order approving this t Injunction and no less than every 18 months thereafter during of the Court's continuing jurisdiction, OPD shall conduct a
8		Chiefs, Inc members of counsel sh	ining session for the Chief of Police, all Deputy and Assistant cident Commanders, Operations Commanders, and Tango Team concerning Training Bulletin III-G and this Injunction. Plaintiffs' hall be provided the opportunity to provide input into the for the special training sessions and to attend those sessions.
10	5.3.10	No later the Injunction	nan 90 days after the Court's Order approving this Permanent, OPD shall conduct a special training session for all officers
11		connection	be assigned to participate in law enforcement duties in with protests and demonstrations on the proper writing of eports. That training shall include explicit prohibition on the use
12 13		of boilerpl Thereafter	ate and inaccurate or misleading language in such reports. this training shall be incorporated into OPD's Report Writing
14	5 3 11		d regular training on writing incident reports. casion in which the Oakland Police Department requests mutual
15	3.3.11	law enforc	ement aid for large demonstrations and mass gatherings, OPD e following:
16 17		(a) OP Co	D shall ensure mutual aid agencies are briefed on OPD's Crowd ntrol Policy.
18		strı	D shall brief mutual aid agencies on OPD's Unity of Command acture and, to the extent possible, ensure mutual aid agencies are agreement with it.
19		(i)	Under OPD's Unity of Command structure, only OPD
20		(1)	Commanders may authorize the use of chemical agents for crowd control and dispersal. However, OPD officers and
21 22			mutual aid officers may use reasonable or necessary force as allowed by law against an individual in self-defense or in
23			defense of another person or officer.
24		wea	D shall inventory the types of less-lethal crowd control apons brought by mutual aid agencies. Should a mutual aid
25		aut	ency bring less-lethal crowd control weapons that are not horized under OPD's Crowd Control Policy, OPD shall do the lowing:
26		(i)	Require those agencies to quarantine the weapons that are
27		(ii)	not authorized; or Assign officers of those agencies to support functions that
28			will not have primary contact with crowds and thus are not

from subsequent litigation of the Released Class Claims.

5.6.2 With respect to the Released Claims, each of the Plaintiffs, and with respect to the Released Class Claims, each Settlement Class Member, shall be deemed to have expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits he/she/they may otherwise have had pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known to him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

- 5.6.3 This Stipulation of Settlement may be pleaded as a full and complete defense to any action, suit or other proceeding that has been or may be instituted, prosecuted or attempted with respect to any of the Released Claims or any of the Released Class Claims, and may be filed, offered and received into evidence and otherwise used by Defendant for such defense and/or in support of injunctive relief against any such action, suit or other proceeding.
- 5.6.4 The above releases shall take effect unconditionally upon the Effective Date.

6. NOTICE TO CLASS PROCEDURE

6.1 Preliminary Approval

6.1.1 Class Counsel shall submit to the Court this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release and exhibits thereto for preliminary approval by the Court. Class Counsel will prepare and file the Preliminary Approval papers for the Court, subject to Defendant's prior review and approval. The Court's preliminary approval of this Settlement shall be embodied in an Order preliminarily approving the Settlement and providing for notice of the Settlement to be provided to the Class.

6.2 Notice and Objections

6.2.1 Class Counsel will provide notice of this Settlement: (a) on the website for the Siegel, Yee, Brunner & Mehta law firm, (b) on the website for Plaintiff Anti Police-Terror Project, (c) on the website for the National Lawyers Guild, and (d) via social media in a manner calculated to reach as many Settlement Class Members as is reasonably possible.

- 6.2.2 Notice will be provided in substantially the same terms as described in **Exhibit 1** hereto, and as may be modified by the Court in its Order granting preliminary approval, and which will also set the date for the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6.2.3 Notice will be published within seven days of the Order granting preliminary approval. Settlement Class Members will have a period of 30 days from the publishing of notice within which to file written objections with the Clerk of Court and to give notice if they intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing.

6.3 Final Approval

- 6.3.1 Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs will move the Court for entry of the Order of Final Approval (and associated entry of Judgment): (a) finding the Settlement fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members, (b) approving Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and costs, and (c) dismissing the Action and releasing and barring any further Released Class Claims by Settlement Class Members. The Parties and their respective counsel shall make all reasonable efforts to secure entry of the Order of Final Approval. The proposed Order of Final Approval (and the associated proposed Judgment) shall be filed with the Court with the motion for Final Approval, or as otherwise directed by the Court.
- 6.3.2 Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, concurrent with or prior to the motion for final approval, Class Counsel shall file a motion seeking approval of attorneys' fees and costs as set forth in this Stipulation. Class Representatives and Class Counsel agree that they shall be responsible for justifying to the Court the amounts of the attorneys' fees and costs, and they agree to submit, as appropriate, the necessary materials to justify these payments. Defendant will not oppose the amount of the attorneys' fees and costs, so long as they are consistent with this Stipulation. If the Court (or any appellate court) awards less than the amount requested for attorneys' fees and/or costs, only the awarded amounts shall be paid and shall constitute satisfaction of the obligations of Defendant under this Stipulation.
- 6.3.3 Defendant shall have the sole right to void and withdraw from this Agreement if at any time prior to the Final Approval Date: (a) the Court makes an order

inconsistent with any of the material terms of this Agreement; or (b) any pending litigation or litigation filed prior to the Final Approval Date in any way prevents this Agreement from resolving all claims identified in the Released Claims or Released Class Claims, as defined herein; or (c) Plaintiffs or Class Counsel breaches this Agreement.

- 6.3.4 If an appeal results in an order materially modifying, setting aside, or vacating any portion of the Stipulation, with the exception of any modification of the amount of attorneys' fees or costs to be paid to Class Counsel, each Party adversely impacted by the order shall have the absolute right, at its sole discretion, to treat such order as an event permanently preventing Final Approval. To exercise this right, the Party must inform the other Party, in writing, of the exercise of this right, within ten (10) business days of receiving notice of any order modifying, setting aside, or vacating any such portion of the Stipulation. Before either Party elects to exercise its right to treat such order as an event permanently preventing Final Approval, that Party must meet and confer in good faith with the other Party to determine if an agreement can be reached modifying this Settlement to the mutual satisfaction of the Parties.
- 6.3.5 If the Final Approval or Final Judgment does not occur, or if this

 Stipulation is terminated or canceled pursuant to its terms, the Parties to this Stipulation shall be
 deemed to have reverted to their respective status as of the date and time immediately prior to the
 execution of this Stipulation and this Stipulation shall be deemed void *ab initio*. In such an
 event, if this Stipulation is not approved by the Court substantially in the form agreed to by the
 Parties, or if the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is terminated, cancelled, declared void, or
 fails to become effective in accordance with its terms, or if the Judgment does not become a
 Final Judgment, or if the Final Approval Date does not occur, this Stipulation (except for those
 provisions relating to non-admission or denial of liability set forth herein) shall be deemed null
 and void, its terms and provisions shall have no further force and effect with respect to the
 Settling Parties and shall not be used in this Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose,
 and any Judgment or order entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation
 shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*. Notwithstanding any other provision of this
 Stipulation, no order of the Court, or modification or reversal on appeal of any order of the

Court, reducing the amount of any attorneys' fees or costs to be paid by Defendant to Class

Counsel shall constitute grounds for cancellation or termination of the Stipulation, or grounds for

limiting any other provision of the Judgment.

6.4 <u>Distribution of the Settlement Proceeds</u>

6.4.1 Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay to Plaintiffs' counsel the amounts for damages to Plaintiffs set forth above in Section 5.2.1, and the amount ordered by the Court for Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.

7. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- Neither the acceptance nor the performance by Defendant of the terms of this Stipulation, nor any of the related negotiations or proceedings, is or shall be claimed to be, construed as, or deemed to be, an admission by Defendants of the truth of any of the allegations in the operative Complaint, the representative character of the Action, the validity of any of the claims that were or could have been asserted by Plaintiffs and/or Settlement Class Members in the Action, or of any liability or guilt of Defendants in the Action. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed to be or deemed an admission by Defendants of any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members, or any other person, and Defendants specifically disclaim any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class Members, and any other person. Each of the Parties has entered into this Stipulation with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience, expenses, and contingencies.
- 7.2 The Parties agree to cooperate fully with one another to accomplish and implement the terms of this Settlement. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, execution of such other documents and the taking of such other action as may reasonably be necessary to fulfill the terms of this Settlement. The Parties to this Settlement shall exercise reasonable efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement and any other efforts that may become necessary by Court order, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement and the terms set forth herein.
 - 7.3 Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands, or other

communications given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed as follows:

To the Class Counsel:
Dan Siegel
Jane Brunner
Sonya Mehta
EmilyRose Johns
Chan Kim
Siegel, Yee, Brunner & Mehta
475 14th Street, Suite 500
Oakland, CA 94612

To Defendant:
Barbara J. Parker
Maria Bee
Kevin P. McLaughlin
Montana B. Baker
Office of the Oakland City Attorney
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612

- 7.4 The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement are the result of lengthy, intensive, arms-length negotiations between the Parties and that this Stipulation shall not be construed in favor of or against any Party by reason of the extent to which any Party or its counsel participated in the drafting of this Stipulation.
- 7.5 Neither Class Counsel nor any other attorneys acting for, or purporting to act for, the Class, Settlement Class Members, or Plaintiffs, may recover or seek to recover any amounts for fees, costs, or disbursements from Defendant except as expressly provided herein.
- 7.6 This Stipulation may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing signed by the Parties hereto and approved by the Court. This Stipulation may not be discharged except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing used by the Parties hereto.
- 7.7 This Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.
- 7.8 Because the members of the Settlement Class are numerous, the Parties agree that it is impossible or impractical to have each Settlement Class Member sign this Stipulation. It is

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1			
2	DATED:	, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class
3			Representative
4	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6			2.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11
7	DATED:	, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED: 7/28/22	, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10	DATED:	, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12 13	DATED:	2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14 15	DATED:,	2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:,	2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19	DATED:,	2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20 21	DATED:,	2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22 23 24	DATED:,	2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
25 26	DATED:,	2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27 28	DATED:,	2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
			18

1 2	DATED:, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED:, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7 8	DATED:, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9	DATED:, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11 12	DATED:, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 14	DATED:, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 16	DATED:, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 18	DATED:, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19 20	DATED:, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21 22	H	3y:
23		KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
24 25		
26 27		
28		
		19

1	agreed therefore, that for purposes of seeking approval of the Class Settlement, this Stipulation		
2	of Settlement may be executed on behalf of the Settlement Class by Class Counsel and the Class		
3	Representatives.		
4	7.9 This Stipulation shall become effective upon its execution by all of the		
5	undersigned. The Parties may execute this Stipulation in counterparts, and execution of		
6	counterparts shall have the same force and effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument.		
7	7.10 The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the implementation and		
8	enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, and all Parties hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the		
9	Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the Settlement embodied in this Stipulation.		
10	Any action to enforce this Stipulation shall be commenced and maintained only in the Court.		
11	7.11 Paragraph titles or captions contained in this Stipulation are inserted as a matter of		
12	convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this		
13	Stipulation, or any provision thereof.		
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Stipulation of Settlement is executed by the Parties and		
15	their duly authorized attorneys, as of the day and year herein set forth.		
16 17	DATED: July 27, 2022 Anti Police-Terror Project, Plaintiff		
18	Its: Executive Director		
19	DATED: , 2022		
20	Community Ready Corps, Plaintiff Its:		
21			
22	DATED:, 2022 Sean Canaday, Plaintiff		
23	Scan Canaday, Frankini		
24	DATED:, 2022 Michael Cohen, Plaintiff		
25			
26	DATED:, 2022 Michael Cooper, Plaintiff and Class Representative		
27	interial cooper, I fament and class representative		
28	DATED:, 2022 Andrea Costanzo, Plaintiff		
-0	Andrea Conditio, Flament		
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS		

agreed therefore, that for purposes of seeking approval of the Class Settlement, this Stipulation of Settlement may be executed on behalf of the Settlement Class by Class Counsel and the Class This Stipulation shall become effective upon its execution by all of the undersigned. The Parties may execute this Stipulation in counterparts, and execution of counterparts shall have the same force and effect as if all Parties had signed the same instrument. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to the implementation and enforcement of the terms of the Stipulation, and all Parties hereto submit to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of implementing and enforcing the Settlement embodied in this Stipulation. Any action to enforce this Stipulation shall be commenced and maintained only in the Court. Paragraph titles or captions contained in this Stipulation are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Stipulation of Settlement is executed by the Parties and their duly authorized attorneys, as of the day and year herein set forth. Anti Police-Terror Project, Plaintiff Community Ready Corps, Plaintiff Its: Sean Canaday, Plaintiff

Cooper, Plaintiff and Class P

1 2 3	dated: July 17	_, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4 5	DATED:	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6 7	DATED:	_, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:	_, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10 11	DATED:	_, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12	DATED:	_, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14 15	DATED:	_, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:	_, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19	DATED:	_, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20 21	DATED:	_, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22	DATED:	_, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
24 25	DATED:	_, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
26 27	DATED:	_, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
28			18
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TTLEMENT AND F	RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1			
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	DATED:	. 2022	
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$		_, 1011	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4	August d	2022	Lysy Files
5	DATED: August 1	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6	DATED:	2022	
7	DATED.	_, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:	2022	
9	DATED.	_, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10	DATED:	2022	
11	DAILD.	_, 4044	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12	DATED:	2022	
13	DAIED.	_, ∠∪∠∠	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14	DATED:	2022	
15	DATED:	_, ∠∪∠∠	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16	DATED:	2022	
17	DAIED.	_, 4044	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18			anomeys rees and costs only
19	DATED:	_, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20			Lena Mouley, Flamum and Class Representative
21	DATED:	_, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22			INIKO INAUA, FIAIHUIII
23	DATED:	_, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
24			AZIZE Ngo, FIAIIIIII
25	DATED:	_, 2022	Nicola Dullar Dlaintiff
26			Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27	DATED:	_, 2022	M. '. D. '. DI' ('00 LOI D
28			Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
			10
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TT EMENT AND P	18 ELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JC

		·
DATED:	2022	
2001 002.		Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
DATED.	2022	
DATED.	4944	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	yourse
		Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
		Katte Johnson, Flamen
DATED:	, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
DATED.	2022	
DATED.	4044	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	
-	-	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	
		Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
DATED:	, 2022	
	-	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
DATED:	, 2022	NIL N. J. DI. S. U.S.
		Niko Nada, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
DATED:	2022	
LONE BLO	_{recording} the Wille the	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
JOINT STIPULATION OF SI	ETTLEMENT AND I	18 RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS
	DATED: DATED:	

DATED:	2022	
DATED:	, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
		Representative
DATED:	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
		Emasey i nowitz, i fameni
DATED:	, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
7/26/2022		
DATED:	, 2022	Jennifer Lif Plaintiff
D.A. TEED	2022	V S
DATED:		Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
DATED.	2022	
DATED:		Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
DATED	2022	
DITTED.	_, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
		accome, is rees and costs only
DATED:	_, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
DATED:	, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
DATED:	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
D 1 0000	2025	
DATED:	, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
DATES.	2022	
DATED:	, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
TODER CTIDLE A TION OF CE	TOTAL THAT A NEW TOTAL	18 RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 ICS
	DATED:	DATED:

1		
2	DATED:, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4 5	DATED:, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6 7	DATED:, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10 11	DATED:, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12 13	DATED 07/252022	Ian Mesonnen, Printiff
14 15	DATED:, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19 20	DATED:, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
21 22	DATED:, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
23 24	DATED:, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
25 26	DATED:, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27 28	DATED:, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
		10

1 2	DATED:	_, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class
3			Representative
4 5	DATED:	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6 7	DATED:	_, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8 9	DATED:	_, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10 11	DATED:	_, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12 13	DATED:	_, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14 15	DATED: July 25th	, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18	DATED:	, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20 21 22	DATED:	, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22 23 24	DATED:	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
24 25	DATED:	, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
262728	DATED:	, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TLEMENT AND R	18 RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1			
2	DATED:	_, 2022	
3			Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4	DATED:	2022	
5	DATED.	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6	DATED:	, 2022	
7		 -^	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:	_, 2022	
9	·		Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
0	DATED:	_, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
1			Jenniter El, Flantini
2 3	DATED:	_, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
4			
5	DATED:	_, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
6	n + mm July 20 2022	2022	-l. 1 m
7	DATED: July 29,2022	_, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and
3			attorneys' fees and costs only
)	DATED:	_, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
)			Lena Wouley, Framum and Class Representative
ι	DATED:	_, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
}	DATED:	_, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
۱			- ·
	DATED:	_, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
5		-0	
7	DATED:	_, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
8			
			18

1	D 1 1750	2022	
2 3	DATED:	, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4		2022	
5	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6	DATED:	, 2022	
7			Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8 9	DATED:	, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10	DATED:	2022	
11	DATED.	, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12	DATED:	, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
13 14			ian McDonnen, Flamun
15	DATED:	, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16	DATED:	. 2022	
17		•	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19	DATED: July 23	. 2022	Leila Mottley
20		,	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
21	DATED:	, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22	·		Timo Tiddi, Timioni
23	DATED:	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
24 25	DATED:	, 2022	
26			Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27	DATED:	, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
28			·
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TI EMENT AND D	18 ELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS
i i	LACTE A TOTAL OPERATION OF DELL		J.20-CY-J000 JCB

	· ·		
1			
2 3	DATED:	_, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4 5	DATED:	_, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6 7	DATED:	_, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:	_, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10 11	DATED:	_, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12 13	DATED:	_, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14 15	DATED:	_, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19 20	DATED:	, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
21	DATED: 7 · 7	, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22 23 24	DATED:	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
25 26	DATED:	, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27 28	DATED:	, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TLEMENT AND R	18 ELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1			
2 3	DATED:	, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4 5	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6 7	DATED:	, 2022	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8 9	DATED:	, 2022	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10 11	DATED:	, 2022	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12 13	DATED:	, 2022	Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14 15	DATED:	, 2022	Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16 17	DATED:	, 2022	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18 19 20	DATED:	, 2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
21	DATED:	, 2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
23 24	DATED: 08,02	, 2022	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
25	DATED:	, 2022	Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27	DATED:	, 2022	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
			18

Johnstinat. Representative	Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff	Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff	Katie Johnson, Plaintiff	Jennifer Li, Plaintiff	Tan McDonnell, Plaintiff	Mclissa Miyara, Plaintiff	Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative	Niko Nada, Plaintiff	Azize Ngo, Plaintiff	Nicole Puller, Pfaintiff	Maria Ramirez, Plaintiff and Class Representative
	2022	. 2022	. 2022	, 2022	2022			2022	2022	2022	2022	
2 DATED:	A DATED:	6 DATED:	8 DATED:	10 DATED:	12 DATED:	14 DATED:	16 DATED;	19 DATED:	21 DATED:	23 DATED:	24 DATED: 7/24/22	28 Exercises 1

1			
1	DATED:	2022	
2	DATED.	, 2022	Johnathan Farmer, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3			Representative
4	DATED:	, 2022	L'. I E'l'. Dl.'.'CC
5			Lindsey Filowitz, Plaintiff
6	DATED:	, 2022	
7			Danielle Gaito, Plaintiff
8	DATED:	, 2022	
9			Katie Johnson, Plaintiff
10	DATED:	2022	<u> </u>
11			Jennifer Li, Plaintiff
12	DATED:,	2022	
13			Ian McDonnell, Plaintiff
14	DATED:,	2022	
15			Melissa Miyara, Plaintiff
16	DATED:,	2022	
17			Lindsey Morris, Plaintiff, as to injunctive relief and attorneys' fees and costs only
18			·
19	DATED:,	2022	Leila Mottley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
20			Dena ivioletey, i fament and Class representative
21	DATED:,	2022	Niko Nada, Plaintiff
22		•	IVIKO IVada, I Iamum
23	DATED:,	2022	Agigo Ngo Plaintiff
24			Azize Ngo, Plaintiff
25	DATED:,	2022	Ni1. D. II. Di. L. CCC
26			Nicole Puller, Plaintiff
27	DATED: July 7,	2022	M
28	,		Maria Ramirez, Flaintiff and Class Representative
			40
	TOD TO COMPLETE A MINOR LOS COMP	of the one in the same of	18

1 2	DATED:July 7, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3 4	DATED:, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7 8	DATED:, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9 10	DATED:, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11 12	DATED:, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 14	DATED:, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 16	DATED:, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 18	DATED:, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19 20 21	DATED:, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
22 23	I	By: KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
24 25		
26 27		
28		
		19

1	DATED:	, 2022	
2			Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED: 08/01	, 2022	May .
4			Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:	, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
6			Tara Rose, Framum
7	DATED:	, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
8			Dainer Sancticz, Frankfii
9	DATED:	, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
10			SAME STORMS STORMS, I MANUAL MANUE STABLE TOPACE CHARLES
11	DATED:	, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
12			Tayan Stewart, I failtuir and Class Representative
13	DATED:	, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
14			ramonne Sagrae, i lantini
15	DATED:	, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
16			Celeste wong, Flamum
17	DATED:	, 2022	
18			Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19			
20	DATED:	, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21			
22		Ву	7:
23			Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
24			,
25			
26			
27			
28			
			19
1			17

1	DATED:	, 2022	
2			Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED:	_, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED: 7/28/22	_, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7 8	DATED:	, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9 10	DATED:	_, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11 12	DATED:	_, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 14	DATED:	_, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 16	DATED:	_, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 18	DATED:	_, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19 20 21	DATED:	_, 2022 I	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
22 23		A	XEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
24		(LITE OF OAKLAND, ELAI.
25			
26			
27		·	
28			
	LODIE CENTAL LES ON OF THE		19
- 1	JOINT STIPULATION OF SE	LITLEMENT A	AND RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1 DATED:	, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3 DATED:	, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5 DATED:	, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
6 DATED: <u>07</u>	<u>/08</u> ,2022	Paniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
	, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representati
	, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 DATED:	, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 DATED:	, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 DATED:	, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representativ
19 DATED:	, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21 22 23 23 24 24 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	By	KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
25 26 27 28		
	JLATION OF SECTLEME	19 NT AND RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866

1	DATED	, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
4	DATED:	, 2022	- Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
6	DATED;		Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7 8	DATED:	. 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9 10	DATED: 3/1/	. 2022	Cherfina Christina Stewart. Plaintiff and Class Representative
11 12	DATED:	, 2022	Tayah Stewart. Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 14	DATED:	, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 16	DATED:	, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 18	DATED:	2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19 20	DATED:		BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21 22 23 24		By	KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
25 26			
27 28			
	JOINT STIPULATION	OF COTTLEMENT	19 AND RELEASE 3:20-cy-3866 JCS

1 2	DATED:	, 2022		Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED:	, 2022	•	•
4				Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:	, 2022		
6				Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7	DATED:	, 2022		
8				Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9	DATED:	, 2022		
10	ļ			Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11	DATED:8/1/2022	_, 2022	•	Tayah Stewar Haintiff and Class Representative
12				Tayan Stewart Traintiff and Class Representative
13	DATED:	, 2022		Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
14				Katherine Sugrue, Flammi
15 16	DATED:	, 2022		Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17				The state of the s
18	DATED:	, 2022		Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19				
20	DATED:	, 2022	BARE	BARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21				
22	·	В	y:	
23			Attorn	N P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney neys for Defendants
24			CITY	OF OAKLAND, et al.
25				
26				
27				
28				
	·			19
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SE	TTLEMEN	T AND R	

1 2	DATED:	_, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED:	_, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:	_, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7 8	DATED:	_, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9 10	DATED:	_, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11 12	DATED:	_, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
13 14	DATED: 7/28/22	_, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
15 16	DATED:	_, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17 18	DATED:	_, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19 20	DATED:	_, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21 22 23		Ву	: KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
24 25			
26 27			
28			
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TLEMENT	19 3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1 2	DATED:	, 2022	Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class R	epresentativo
3	DATED;	, 2022	Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff	
5	DATED:	, 2022	Tara Rose, Plaintiff	
7	DATED:	, 2022	Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff	na di Panda di Balanca di Balanca di Assara di Panda di Balanca di Panda di Balanca di Panda di Balanca di Panda
9	DATED:	, 2022	Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and C	Class Representative
11 12	DATED:	, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class	s Representative
13 14	DATED:	, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff	
15 16	DATED: July 17th	, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff	5000000 og ett månderhedjeniskepinene
17 18	DATED:	, 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Cla	ss Representative
19 20	DATED:	, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney	
212223		By	KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deput Attorneys for Defendants	y City Attorney
24			CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.	
25				
26				
27				
28				
			19	
	JOINT STIPULATION OF SET	TLEMENT	T AND RELEASE	3:20-cv-3866 JCS

1	DATED:	, 2022	ALTIDU DI LUCCO I CIL D
2			Akil Riley, Plaintiff and Class Representative
3	DATED:	, 2022	
4			Aaron Rogachevsky, Plaintiff
5	DATED:	, 2022	T. D. DI : 1:00
6			Tara Rose, Plaintiff
7	DATED:	, 2022	Deviated at the property of th
8			Daniel Sanchez, Plaintiff
9	DATED:	, 2022	Christina Starrart Plaintiff and Clark
10	·		Christina Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
11	DATED:	, 2022	Tayah Stewart, Plaintiff and Class Representative
12			rayan Stewart, riamum and Class Representative
13	DATED:	, 2022	Katherine Sugrue, Plaintiff
14			rathernic Bugrue, Flament
15 16	DATED:	, 2022	Celeste Wong, Plaintiff
17			Man An
18	DATED: Jul	y 15 , 2022	Qiaochu Zhang, Plaintiff and Class Representative
19			Constitution of the consti
20	DATED:	, 2022	BARBARA J. PARKER, City Attorney
21	Name of the last o	Section 1997 Section 1997 Section 1997	, ,
22		Ву	y:
23		·	KEVIN P. MCLAUGHLIN, Sup. Deputy City Attorney
24			Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.
25			
26			
27			
28			
			10
	JOINT STIPULATIO	N OF SETTLEMEN	19 Γ AND RELEASE 3:20-cv-3866 JCS